

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/696,896	Applicant(s) JUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner Gordon J. Stock	Art Unit 2877	

All Participants:
Status of Application: after non-final

 (1) Gordon J. Stock.

(3) _____.

 (2) Attorney Steven Laut.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2005
Time: 3:20 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: For claim 1 an amendment to the claim to correct for a possible lack of antecedent basis was discussed (specifically, in regards to "the first output port and the second output port" of line 18); for claim 3, an amendment was discussed to clarify the amount of output ports of the optical coupler. See attached Examiner's Amendment..